

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PS O Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450 www suplo gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/591,087 08/29/2006 BKR-106 6343 Pierre Monsan EXAMINER SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION WESSENDORF, TERESA D PO BOX 142950 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER GAINESVILLE, FL 32614-2950 1639 MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE 07/09/2008 PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/591,087 MONSAN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit T. D. Wessendorf 1639 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on ___ 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 19-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 19-36 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

i. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 2
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Review (PTO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) syMail Date.
· · ·	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). fice action for a list of the certified copies not	received.
	d copies of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.

Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 19-20, 25-26 and 31-33, drawn to a method for selecting or preparing cells comprising at least one metabolic pathway or metabolic pathway family.

Group II, claim(s) 19, 21-24 drawn to a method for selecting or preparing cells comprising at least one metabolic pathway or metabolic pathway family comprising implementing in vitro mutagenesis.

Group III, claim(s) 19, 25 and 27-30, drawn to a method for selecting or preparing cells comprising at least one metabolic pathway or metabolic pathway family comprising modifying by replacement the first selection marker in the vector.

Group IV. Claim(s) 34-35, drawn to a process for preparing the product $\{B\}$ from the substrate $\{Ai\}$.

Group V, claim(s) 36, drawn to a method for selecting or preparing a host cell (Ai; B) incapable of metabolizing a substrate (Ai) and the product (B).

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV and V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the different inventions do not have corresponding special technical features as each method have different modes of operation and effects. For example, the inventions of Group II are drawn to a postmodification of the molecule by in vitro mutagenesis. Group III is drawn to modifying the selection marker. These modification(s) are not recited in the other groups such as claim 19 which requires no post modification of the selected host cells or selection marker modification.

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Eukaryotic or Prokaryotic cells as recited in claim 28. (Please note that for a proper species election a species of i.e., eukaryotic e.g., yeast is required. Eukaryotic or prokaryotic cells are generic, not species.)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

28.

The following claim(s) are generic: 19 and 28.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features as each

host cells, eukaryotic and prokaryotic, has mutually exclusive characteristics and mode of actions. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicants are advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1639

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to T. D. Wessendorf whose telephone number is (571) 272-0812. The examiner can normally be reached on Flexitime.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Schultz can be reached on 571 272-0763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. D. Wessendorf/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1639



Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/591,087	MONSAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
T. D. Wessendorf	1639	